

DUAL OF BASS NUMBERS AND DUALIZING MODULES

MOHAMMAD RAHMANI AND ABDOLJAVAD TAHERIZADEH

ABSTRACT. Let R be a Noetherian ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. In this paper, we impose various conditions on C to be dualizing. For example, as a generalization of Xu [22, Theorem 3.2], we show that C is dualizing if and only if for an R -module M , the necessary and sufficient condition for M to be C -injective is that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$, where π_i is the invariant dual to the Bass numbers defined by E. Enochs and J. Xu [8].

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity. A finitely generated R -module C is semidualizing if the natural homothety map $R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism and $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Semidualizing modules have been studied by Foxby [9], Vasconcelos [20] and Golod [10] who used the name *suit-able* for these modules. Dualizing complexes, introduced by A. Grothendieck, is a powerful tool for investigating cohomology theories in algebraic geometry. A bounded complex of R -modules D with finitely generated homologies is said to be a dualizing complex for R , if the natural homothety morphism $R \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(D, D)$ is quasiisomorphism, and $\text{id}_R(D) < \infty$. These notion has been extended to semidualizing complexes by L.W. Christensen [5]. A bounded complex of R -modules C with finitely generated homologies is semidualizing for R if the natural homothety morphism $R \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is quasiisomorphism. He used these notion to define a new homological dimension for complexes, namely G_C -dimension, which is a generalization of Yassemi's G -dimension [23]. The following, is the translation of a part of [5, Proposition 8.4] to the language of modules:

Theorem 1. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}, k) be a Noetherian local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. The following are equivalent:

- (i) C is dualizing.
- (ii) $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ for all finite R -modules M .
- (iii) $G_C\text{-dim}_R(k) < \infty$.

In particular, the above theorem recovers [4, 1.4.9]. Note that k is a Cohen-macaulay R -module of type 1. R. Takahashi, in [17, Theorem 2.3], replaced the condition $G\text{-dim}_R(k) < \infty$ in [4, 1.4.9] by weaker conditions and obtained a nice characterization for Gorenstein rings.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13C05, 13D05, 13D07, 13H10.

Key words and phrases. Semidualizing modules, dualizing modules, G_C -dimension, Bass numbers, dual of Bass numbers, minimal flat resolution, local cohomology.

Indeed, he showed that R is Gorenstein, provided that either R admits an ideal I of finite G-dimension such that R/I is Gorenstein, or there exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module of type 1 and of finite G-dimension. The following is the main result of section 3, which generalizes Theorem 1 as well as [17, Theorem 2.3]. See Theorem 3.4 below.

Theorem 2. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. The following are equivalent:

- (i) C is dualizing.
- (ii) There exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} with $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(\mathfrak{a}C) < \infty$ such that $C/\mathfrak{a}C$ is dualizing for R/\mathfrak{a} .
- (iii) There exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module M with $r_R(M) = 1$ and $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$.
- (iv) $r_R(C) = 1$ and there exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module M with $\mathrm{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$.

E.Enochs et al. [1], solved a long standing conjecture about the existence of flat covers. Indeed, they showed that if R is any ring, then all R -modules have flat covers. E.Enochs [6], determined the structure of flat cotorsion modules. Also, E.Enochs and J.Xu [8, Definition 1.2], defined a new invariant π_i , dual to the Bass numbers, for modules related to flat resolutions. J.Xu [22], studied the minimal injective resolution of flat R -modules and minimal flat resolution of injective R -modules. He characterized Gorenstein rings in terms of vanishing of Bass numbers of flat modules, and vanishing of dual of Bass numbers of injective modules. More precisely, the following theorem is [22, Theorems 2.1 and 3.2].

Theorem 3. Let R be a Noetherian ring. The following are equivalent:

- (i) R is Gorenstein.
- (ii) An R -module F is flat if and only if $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, F) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (iii) An R -module E is injective if and only if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, E) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

In section 4, we give a generalization of Theorem 3. Indeed, in Theorem 4.3, we prove the following result.

Theorem 4. Let R be a Noetherian ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module. The following are equivalent:

- (i) C is pointwise dualizing.
- (ii) An R -module M is C -injective if and only if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (iii) An R -module M is injective if and only if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(C, M)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Theorem 4 has several applications. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring possessing a canonical module. In this section, we give the structure of the minimal flat resolution of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$, the top local cohomology of R . More precisely, the following theorem is Corollary 4.7.

Theorem 5. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring possessing a canonical module. The minimal flat resolution of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -adic topology.

In this section, by using the above resolution, we obtain the following isomorphism for a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring (See Corollary 4.8).

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) \cong \begin{cases} H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) & i = d, \\ 0 & i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and facts which are needed throughout this paper. By an injective cogenerator, we always mean an injective R -module E for which $\text{Hom}_R(M, E) \neq 0$ whenever M is a nonzero R -module. For an R -module M , the injective hull of M , is always denoted by $E(M)$.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of R -modules and M an R -module. An \mathcal{X} -resolution of M is a complex of R -modules in \mathcal{X} of the form

$$X = \cdots \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^X} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^X} X_0 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that $H_0(X) \cong M$ and $H_n(X) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Also the \mathcal{X} -projective dimension of M is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(M) := \inf\{\sup\{n \geq 0 \mid X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{X}\text{-resolution of } M\}.$$

So that in particular $\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(0) = -\infty$. The modules of \mathcal{X} -projective dimension zero are precisely the non-zero modules in \mathcal{X} . The terms of \mathcal{X} -coresolution and \mathcal{X} -id are defined dually.

Definition 2.2. A finitely generated R -module C is *semidualizing* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) The natural homothety map $R \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, C) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

For example a finitely generated projective R -module of rank 1 is semidualizing. If R is Cohen-Macaulay, then an R -module D is dualizing if it is semidualizing and that $\text{id}_R(D) < \infty$. For example the canonical module of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, if exists, is dualizing.

Definition 2.3. Following [12], let C be a semidualizing R -module. We set

$\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ = the subcategory of R -modules $C \otimes_R F$ where F is a flat R -module.

$\mathcal{I}_C(R)$ = the subcategory of R -modules $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ where I is an injective R -module.

The R -modules in $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}_C(R)$ are called C -flat and C -injective, respectively. If $C = R$, then it recovers the classes of flat and injective modules, respectively. We use the notations C -fd and C -id instead of \mathcal{F}_C -pd and \mathcal{I}_C -id, respectively.

Proposition 2.4. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module. Then we have the following:*

- (i) $\text{Supp}(C) = \text{Spec}(R)$, $\dim(C) = \dim(R)$ and $\text{Ass}(C) = \text{Ass}(R)$.
- (ii) If $R \rightarrow S$ is a flat ring homomorphism, then $C \otimes_R S$ is a semidualizing S -module.
- (iii) If $x \in R$ is R -regular, then C/xC is a semidualizing R/xR -module.
- (iv) If, in addition, R is local, then $\text{depth}_R(C) = \text{depth}(R)$.

Proof. The parts (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from the definition of semidualizing modules. For (iv), note that an element of R is R -regular if and only if it is C -regular since $\text{Ass}(C) = \text{Ass}(R)$. Now an easy induction yields the equality. \square

Definition 2.5. Let C be a semidualizing R -module. A finitely generated R -module M is said to be *totally C -reflexive* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) The natural evaluation map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ for all $i > 0$.

For an R -module M , if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, of R -modules such that each G_i is totally C -reflexive, then we say that M has G_C -dimension at most n , and write $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$. If there is no shorter such sequence, we set $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = n$. Also, if such an integer n does not exist, then we say that M has infinite G_C -dimension, and write $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = \infty$.

The next proposition collects basic properties of G_C -dimension. For the proof, see [10].

Proposition 2.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local, M a finitely generated R -module and let C be a semidualizing R -module. The following statements hold:*

- (i) If $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$, and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is M -regular, then

$$G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = G_C\text{-dim}_R(M/xM) + 1.$$
 If, also, x is R -regular, then

$$G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = G_{C/xC}\text{-dim}_{R/xR}(M/xM).$$
- (ii) If $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$ and x is an R -regular element in $\text{Ann}_R(M)$, then

$$G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = G_{C/xC}\text{-dim}_{R/xR}(M) + 1.$$
- (iii) Let $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -modules. If two of L, K, N are of finite G_C -dimension, then so is the third.
- (iv) If $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) &= \sup\{i \geq 0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) \neq 0\} \\ &= \text{depth}(R) - \text{depth}_R(M). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7. Let C be a semidualizing R -module. The *Auslander class with respect to C* is the class $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ of R -modules M such that:

- (i) $\text{Tor}_i^R(C, M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(C, C \otimes_R M)$ for all $i \geq 1$, and

- (ii) The natural map $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M)$ is an isomorphism.

The *Bass class with respect to C* is the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ of R -modules M such that:

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0 = \text{Tor}_i^R(C, \text{Hom}_R(C, M))$ for all $i \geq 1$, and
- (ii) The natural map $C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \rightarrow M$ is an isomorphism.

The class $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ contains all R -modules of finite projective dimension and those of finite C -injective dimension. Also the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ contains all R -modules of finite injective dimension and those of finite C -projective dimension (see [18, Corollary 2.9]). Also, if any two R -modules in a short exact sequence are in $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$), then so is the third (see [13]).

Proposition 2.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let C be a semidualizing R -module.*

- (i) *C is a dualizing R -module if and only if $C \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ is a dualizing \widehat{R} -module.*
- (ii) *Let $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ be R -regular. Then C is a dualizing R -module if and only if C/xC is a dualizing R/xR -module.*

Proof. Just use the definition of dualizing modules. □

Theorem 2.9. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module and let M be an R -module.*

- (i) $C\text{-id}_R(M) = \text{id}_R(C \otimes_R M)$ and $\text{id}_R(M) = C\text{-id}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M))$.
- (ii) $C\text{-fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M))$ and $\text{fd}_R(M) = C\text{-fd}_R(C \otimes_R M)$.

Proof. For (i), see [18, Theorem 2.11] and for (ii), see [19, Proposition 5.2]. □

Lemma 2.10. *Let C be a semidualizing R -module, E be an injective cogenerator and M be an R -module.*

- (i) *One has $C\text{-id}_R(M) = C\text{-fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E))$.*
- (ii) *One has $C\text{-fd}_R(M) = C\text{-id}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E))$.*

Proof. (i). We have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-id}_R(M) &= \text{id}_R(C \otimes_R M) \\ &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C \otimes_R M, E)) \\ &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, \text{Hom}_R(M, E))) \\ &= C\text{-fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E)), \end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.9(i), and the last one is from Theorem 2.9(ii).

- (ii). Is similar to (i). □

Remark 2.11. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let M be a finitely generated R -module. We use $\nu_R(M)$ to denote the minimal number of generators of M . More precisely, $\nu_R(M) = \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(M \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{m})$. It is easy to see that if $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $\nu_R(M) = \nu_{R/xR}(M/xM)$. In particular, if $x \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$, then $\nu_R(M) = \nu_{R/xR}(M)$. Assume that $\text{depth}_R(M) = n$. The type of M , denoted by $r_R(M)$, is defined to be $\text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(\text{Ext}_R^n(R/\mathfrak{m}, M))$. If $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $r_R(M/xM) = r_{R/xR}(M/xM)$ by [2, Exercise 1.2.26]. Also, if $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ is M - and R -regular, then $r_R(M) = r_{R/xR}(M/xM)$ by [2, Lemma 3.1.16]. Assume that C is a semidualizing

R -module. Then $r_R(C) \mid r_R(R)$. Indeed, by reduction modulo a maximal R -sequence, we can assume that $\text{depth}_R(C) = 0 = \text{depth}(R)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_R(R) &= \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) \\
 &= \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_R(C, C)) \\
 &= \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R C, C) \\
 &= \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R C \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \\
 &= \text{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \text{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R C, \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C)) \\
 &= \nu_R(C) r_R(C).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $r_R(R) = 1$ (e.g. R is Gorenstein local), then $\nu_R(C) = 1$ and then $C \cong R$.

Definition 2.12. Let M be an R -module and let \mathcal{X} be a class of R -modules. Following [7], a \mathcal{X} -precover of M is a homomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow M$, with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, such that every homomorphism $Y \rightarrow M$ with $Y \in \mathcal{X}$, factors through φ ; i.e., the homomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_R(Y, \varphi) : \text{Hom}_R(Y, X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(Y, M)$$

is surjective for each module Y in \mathcal{X} . A \mathcal{X} -precover $\varphi : X \rightarrow M$ is a \mathcal{X} -cover if every $\psi \in \text{Hom}_R(X, X)$ with $\varphi\psi = \varphi$ is an automorphism.

Definition 2.13. Following [6], an R -module M is called *cotorsion* if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for any flat R -module F .

Remark 2.14. In [1], E. Enochs et al. showed that if R is any ring, then every R -module has a flat cover. It is easy to see that flat cover must be surjective. By [6, Lemma 2.2], the kernel of a flat cover is always cotorsion. So that if $F \rightarrow M$ is flat cover and M is cotorsion, then so is F . Therefore for an R -module M , one can iteratively take flat covers to construct a flat resolution of M . Since flat cover is unique up to isomorphism, this resolution is unique up to isomorphism of complexes. Such a resolution is called the minimal flat resolution of M . Note that the minimal flat resolution of M is a direct summand of any other flat resolution of M . Assume that

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

is the minimal flat resolution of M . Then F_i is cotorsion for all $i \geq 1$. If, in addition, M is cotorsion, then all the flat modules in the minimal flat resolution of M are cotorsion. E. Enochs [6], determined the structure of flat cotorsion modules. He showed that if F is flat and cotorsion, then $F \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -adic topology. So that we can determine the structure of the minimal flat resolution of cotorsion modules.

Definition 2.15. Let M be a cotorsion R -module and let

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

be the minimal flat resolution of M . Following [8], for a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and an integer $i \geq 0$, the invariant $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is defined to be the cardinality of the basis of a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module whose completion is $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the product $F_i \cong \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By [8, theorem 2.2], for each $i \geq 0$,

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \text{vdim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)).$$

Remark 2.16. Let M be a finitely generated R -module. There are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{p})) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{p})) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism holds because $E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong E_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, and the second isomorphism is tensor-evaluation [7, Theorem 3.2.14].

3. FINITENESS OF G_C -DIMENSION

Throughout this section, C is a semidualizing R -module. We begin with three lemmas that are needed for the main result of this section. It is well-known that a local ring over which there exists a non-zero finitely generated injective module, must be Artinian. Our first lemma generalizes this fact by replacing the injectivity condition with weaker assumption.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local and let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\operatorname{depth}(M) = 0$. If $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$, then R is Artinian. In particular, M is injective.*

Proof. We show that $\dim(R) = 0$. Assume, on the contrary, that $\dim(R) > 0$. Note that if N is an R -module of finite length, then by using a composition series for N in conjunction with the assumption, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(N, M) = 0$. Now an easy induction on $\ell_R(N)$ yields the equality $\ell_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(N, M)) = \ell_R(N)\ell_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M))$. Next, note that $\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^i) < \infty$ for any $i \geq 1$, and that the sequence $\{\ell_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not bounded since $\mathfrak{m}^i \neq \mathfrak{m}^{i+1}$ for any $i \geq 1$. Hence $\{\ell_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}^i, M))\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is not bounded. But $0 :_M \mathfrak{m} \subseteq 0 :_M \mathfrak{m}^2 \subseteq \cdots$ is a chain of submodules of M , and hence is eventually stationary. This is a contradiction. Therefore R is Artinian. Finally, the assumption $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = 0$ implies that M is injective. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let M be a Cohen-Macaulay R -module with $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$. Then $r_R(C) \mid r_R(M)$.*

Proof. We use induction on $n = \operatorname{depth}(R)$. If $n = 0$, then by Proposition 2.6(iv), we have $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) = 0$, and hence there is an isomorphism $M \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$, and the equalities $\operatorname{depth}_R(C) = 0 = \operatorname{depth}_R(M)$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_R(M) &= \operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \\ &= \operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C), C)) \\ &= \operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C), C) \\ &= \operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C) \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{m}} R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \\ &= \operatorname{vdim}_{R/\mathfrak{m}} \operatorname{Hom}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C)) \\ &= \nu_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, C))r_R(C). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $r_R(C) \mid r_R(M)$. Now, assume inductively that $n > 0$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. If $\operatorname{depth}_R(M) = 0$, then M is of finite length since it is Cohen-Macaulay. Hence we can take an R -regular element x such that $xM = 0$. Set $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/xR$. Then by

Proposition 2.6(ii), we have $\mathrm{G}_{\overline{C}}\text{-dim}_{\overline{R}}(M) < \infty$. Also, note that M is a Cohen-Macaulay \overline{R} -module. Hence by induction hypothesis we have $r_{\overline{R}}(\overline{C}) \mid r_{\overline{R}}(M)$. Thus $r_R(C) \mid r_R(M)$.

Case 2. If $\mathrm{depth}_R(M) > 0$, then we can take an element $y \in \mathfrak{m}$ to be M - and R -regular. Set $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/yR$. Now \overline{M} is a Cohen-Macaulay \overline{R} -module, and that

$$\mathrm{G}_{\overline{C}}\text{-dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}) = \mathrm{G}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty,$$

by Proposition 2.6(i). Therefore, by induction hypothesis, we have $r_{\overline{R}}(\overline{C}) \mid r_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M})$, whence $r_R(C) \mid r_R(M)$. This complete the inductive step. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local and that $r_R(C) = 1$. If there exists a totally C -reflexive R -module of finite length, then C is dualizing.*

Proof. Assume that M is a finite length C -reflexive R -module. Then $\mathrm{depth}_R(M) = 0$, and hence $\mathrm{depth}(R) = \mathrm{G}_C\text{-dim}(M) = 0$ by Proposition 2.6(iv). Therefore, we have $\mathrm{depth}_R(C) = 0$ by Proposition 2.4(iv). Now assume, on the contrary, that C is not dualizing. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, we have $\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \neq 0$. Let

$$0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_r = M,$$

be a composition series for M . Thus the factors are all isomorphic to R/\mathfrak{m} , and we have exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow M_{i-1} \rightarrow M_i \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0,$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{i-1}, C),$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Now since $\mathrm{depth}_R(C) = 0$ and $r_R(C) = 1$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$. Hence we have the inequality $\ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_i, C)) \leq \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{i-1}, C)) + 1$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r-1$. On the other hand, application of the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(-, C)$ on the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{r-1} \rightarrow M \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \rightarrow 0$, yields an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{r-1}, C) \\ \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, C) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_R^1(M, C) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C)) = \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{r-1}, C)) + 1 - \ell_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, C))$. But since $\ell_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^1(R/\mathfrak{m}, C)) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C)) &< \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{r-1}, C)) + 1 \\ &\leq \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_{r-2}, C)) + 2 \\ &\leq \cdots \\ &\leq \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M_0, C)) + r \\ &= r \\ &= \ell_R(M). \end{aligned}$$

Now since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is again a totally C -reflexive R -module of finite length, the same argument shows that $\ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C), C)) \leq \ell_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C))$. But since M is totally C -reflexive, we have $M \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$, which implies that $\ell_R(M) < \ell_R(M)$, a contradiction. Hence C is dualizing. \square

The following theorem is a generalization of [17, Theorem 2.3].

Theorem 3.4. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) C is dualizing.
- (ii) There exists an ideal \mathfrak{a} with $G_C\text{-dim}_R(\mathfrak{a}C) < \infty$ such that $C/\mathfrak{a}C$ is dualizing for R/\mathfrak{a} .
- (iii) There exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module M with $r_R(M) = 1$ and $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$.
- (iv) $r_R(C) = 1$ and there exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module M of finite G_C -dimension.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Choose $\mathfrak{a} = 0$.

(ii) \implies (iii). We show that $C/\mathfrak{a}C$ has the desired properties. First, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}C \rightarrow C \rightarrow C/\mathfrak{a}C \rightarrow 0,$$

in conjunction with Proposition 2.6(iii), show that $G_C\text{-dim}_R(C/\mathfrak{a}C) < \infty$. On the other hand, $C/\mathfrak{a}C$ is a Cohen-Macaulay $R/\mathfrak{a}R$ -module and hence is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module. Finally, by [2, Exercise 1.2.26], we have $r_R(C/\mathfrak{a}C) = r_{R/\mathfrak{a}}(C/\mathfrak{a}C) = 1$.

(iii) \implies (iv). By Lemma 3.2, we have $r_R(C) = 1$.

(iv) \implies (i). Assume that M is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module with $G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$. We use induction on $m = \text{depth}_R(M)$. If $m = 0$, then M is of finite length since it is Cohen-Macaulay. Since $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(M)} = \mathfrak{m}$, we can choose a maximal R -sequence from elements of $\text{Ann}_R(M)$, say \mathbf{x} . In view of Proposition 2.8(ii) and Proposition 2.6(ii), we can replace C by $C/\mathbf{x}C$ and R by $R/\mathbf{x}R$, and assume that M is totally C -reflexive. In this case, C is dualizing by Lemma 3.3. Now assume inductively that $m > 0$. Hence $\text{depth}(R) > 0$ by Proposition 2.6(iv), and we can take an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ to be M - and R -regular. Set $\overline{(-)} = (-) \otimes_R R/xR$. Now \overline{M} is a Cohen-Macaulay \overline{R} -module and $r_{\overline{R}}(\overline{C}) = r_R(C) = 1$. Also, by Proposition 2.6(i), we have $G_{\overline{C}}\text{-dim}_{\overline{R}}(\overline{M}) = G_C\text{-dim}_R(M) < \infty$. Hence, by induction hypothesis, \overline{C} is dualizing for \overline{R} , whence C is dualizing for R by Proposition 2.8(ii). \square

It is well-known that the existence of a finitely generated (resp. Cohen-Macaulay) module of finite injective (resp. projective) dimension implies Cohen-Macaulyness of the ring. But, in the special case that C is dualizing, the proof is easy, as the following relations show

$$\dim(R) = \dim_R(C) \leq \text{id}_R(C) = \text{depth}(R),$$

where the first equality is from Proposition 2.4(i), and the remaining parts are from [2, Theorem 3.1.17]. Therefore, in view of Theorem 3.4, we can state the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be local. If there exists a Cohen-Macaulay R -module of type 1 and of finite G_C -dimension, then R is Cohen-Macaulay.*

4. C-INJECTIVE MODULES

In this section, our aim is to extend two nice results of J.Xu [22]. It is well-known that a Noetherian ring R is Gorenstein if and only if $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, R) = \delta_{i, \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})}$ (the Kronecker δ). As a generalization, J.Xu [22, Theorem 2.1], showed that R is Gorenstein if and only if for any R -module F , the necessary and sufficient condition for F to be flat is that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, F) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Next, in [22, Theorem 3.2], he proved a dual for this theorem. Indeed, he proved that R is Gorenstein if and only if for any R -module E , the necessary and sufficient condition for E to be injective is that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, E) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and

all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. In the present section, first we generalize the mentioned results. Next, we use our new results to determine the minimal flat resolution of some top local cohomology of a Cohen-Macaulay local rings and their torsion products.

Lemma 4.1. *The followings are equivalent:*

- (i) C is pointwise dualizing.
- (ii) $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$ for any $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.
- (iii) $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) < \infty$ for any $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.
- (iv) $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (v) $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) < \infty$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (vi) $C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$ for any $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.
- (vii) $C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{m}}) < \infty$ for any $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$.
- (viii) $C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (ix) $C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) < \infty$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Assume that $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$. There are equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \\
 &= \text{fd}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}, E_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}))) \\
 &= \text{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\
 &= \dim(R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\
 &= \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}),
 \end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.9(ii), and the second one is from Remark 2.16.

(ii) \implies (iii). Is clear.

(iii) \implies (i). We can assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local. Now one can use Theorem 2.9(ii), to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{id}_R(C) &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \\
 &= C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

whence C is dualizing.

(i) \implies (iv). Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of R . Note that $E(R/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Now as in (i) \implies (ii), we have $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \dim(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

(iv) \implies (v). Is clear.

(v) \implies (i). Again, we can assume that R is local. Now the proof is similar to that of (iii) \implies (i).

(ii) \iff (vi) and (iii) \iff (vii). Note that $T_{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{(X)})$ for some set X . Now we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{m}}) &= \text{id}_R(C \otimes_R T_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\
 &= \text{id}_R(C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m}), E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{(X)})) \\
 &= \text{id}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})), E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{(X)})) \\
 &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) \\
 &= C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})),
 \end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.9(i), the fourth equality is from Remark 2.16 and the fact that $E(R/\mathfrak{m})^{(X)}$ is an injective cogenerator in the category of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules, and the last one is from Theorem 2.9(ii).

(iv) \iff (viii) and (v) \iff (ix). Are similar to (ii) \iff (vi). \square

The following theorem is a generalization of [21, theorem 2.1].

Theorem 4.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) C is pointwise dualizing.
- (ii) An R -module M is C -flat if and only if $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (iii) An R -module M is flat if and only if $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, C \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). First assume that M is C -flat. Set $M = C \otimes_R F$, where F is a flat R -module. Since C is pointwise dualizing, we have $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, C) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ with $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Assume that

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow E^0(C) \rightarrow E^1(C) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^i(C) \rightarrow \dots$$

is the minimal injective resolution of C . By applying the exact functor $- \otimes_R F$ to this resolution, we find an exact complex

$$0 \rightarrow M = C \otimes_R F \rightarrow E^0(C) \otimes_R F \rightarrow E^1(C) \otimes_R F \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E^i(C) \otimes_R F \rightarrow \dots, (*)$$

which is an injective resolution for M . By [7, Theorem 3.3.12] the injective R -module $E(R/\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_R F$ is a direct sum of copies of $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Now, since the minimal injective resolution of M is a direct summand of the complex $(*)$, we get the result. Conversely, suppose that M is an R -module such that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. In order to show that M is C -flat, it is enough to prove that $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -flat $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$. For if $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -flat $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$, then $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}, M_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is flat as an $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)$ by Theorem 2.9(ii). Hence $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is a flat R -module and thus M is C -flat by Theorem 2.9(ii). Hence, replacing R by $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$, we can assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is local. Clearly we may assume that $M \neq 0$. In this case we have $\text{id}_R(M) < \infty$ since by assumption $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and all $i > \dim(R)$. Hence the assumption in conjunction with Lemma 4.1, imply that M has a bounded injective resolution all of whose terms have finite C -flat dimensions. More precisely, by Lemma 4.1, if E^i is the i -th term in the minimal injective resolution of M , then $C\text{-fd}_R(E^i) = i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \text{id}(M)$. Breaking up this resolution to short exact sequences and using [19, Corollary 5.7], we can conclude that $C\text{-fd}_R(M) = 0$. Hence M is C -flat, as wanted.

(ii) \implies (iii). Assume that M is a flat R -module. Then $C \otimes_R M \in \mathcal{F}_C$ and $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, C \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ by assumption. Conversely, suppose that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, C \otimes_R M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, by assumption, $C \otimes_R M$ is C -flat. Set $C \otimes_R M = C \otimes_R F$, where F is flat. Therefore $C \otimes_R M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, whence

$M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$ by [18, Theorem 2.8(b)]. Thus we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R M) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R F) \\ &\cong F, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last isomorphism hold since both M and F are in $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$.

(iii) \implies (i). Note that R is a flat R -module. Hence by assumption, if $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Max}(R)$, then $\mu^i(\mathfrak{m}, C \otimes_R R) = 0$ for all $i > \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$. Thus $\operatorname{id}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}) < \infty$, as wanted. \square

Theorem 4.3. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) C is pointwise dualizing.
- (ii) An R -module M is C -injective if and only if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.
- (iii) An R -module M is injective if and only if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, M)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). Assume that M is a nonzero C -injective R -module. Set $M = \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E)$ with E is injective. First, we show that M is cotorsion. Assume that F is a flat R -module. Then, by [7, Theorem 3.2.1], we have $\operatorname{Ext}_R^1(F, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(\operatorname{Tor}_1^R(F, C), E) = 0$, and hence M is cotorsion. Fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and set $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, E)$ is an injective R -module and that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, E) \cong \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in X} E(R/\mathfrak{q})$, where $X \subseteq \operatorname{Ass}_R(E)$ and each element of X is a subset of \mathfrak{p} . There are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(C, E))) &\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, E)) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, E))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in X} E(R/\mathfrak{q}))) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\operatorname{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), C_{\mathfrak{p}}), \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in X} E(R/\mathfrak{q})), \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is from [7, Theorem 3.2.13]. Now since $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is dualizing for $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), C_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Conversely, assume that M is a non-zero R -module with $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. By assumption, the minimal flat resolution of M is of the form

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_i \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which $F_i = \prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Also, in view of [22, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}}. \text{ Hence the minimal flat resolution of } M \text{ is of the form} \\ \cdots &\longrightarrow \prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0. (*) \end{aligned}$$

Let E be an injective cogenerator. According to Lemma 2.10(i), it is enough to show that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E)$ is C -flat. In fact, by Theorem 4.2, we need only to show that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E)) = 0$ for all $i \neq \operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ and all $i \geq 0$. Applying the exact functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, E)$ on $(*)$, we get an injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right) \longrightarrow$$

$\text{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right) \longrightarrow \cdots$,
 for $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$. Note that $\text{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right)$ is an injective R -module for all $i \geq 0$.
 Set $\text{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right) \cong \oplus E(R/\mathfrak{q})$. We show that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) = i$. Since C is pointwise dualizing, by Lemma 4.1, we have $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{q})) = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q})$. On the other hand, we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{q})) &= C\text{-fd}_R(\oplus E(R/\mathfrak{q})) \\ &= C\text{-fd}_R\left(\text{Hom}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}, E\right)\right) \\ &= C\text{-id}_R\left(\prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=i} T_{\mathfrak{p}}\right) \\ &= i, \end{aligned}$$

in which the third equality is from Lemma 2.10(i), and the last one is from Lemma 4.1. Hence $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(M, E)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ with $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$, as wanted.

(ii) \implies (iii). Assume that M is an injective R -module. Then $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \in \mathcal{I}_C$ and $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(C, M)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ by assumption. Conversely, suppose that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(C, M)) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$. Then, by assumption, $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is C -injective. Set $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) = \text{Hom}_R(C, I)$, where I is injective. Therefore $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, whence $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by [18, Theorem 2.8(a)]. Thus we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} M &\cong C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \\ &\cong C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I) \\ &\cong I, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last isomorphism hold since both M and I are in $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$.

(iii) \implies (i). Assume that \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of R . Set $k(\mathfrak{m}) = R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is injective, by assumption, we have $\pi_i(\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$. On the other hand, there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{m}), C_{\mathfrak{m}}), E(k(\mathfrak{m}))) &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(k(\mathfrak{m}), \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}, E(k(\mathfrak{m})))) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(k(\mathfrak{m}), \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} R_{\mathfrak{m}}, E(k(\mathfrak{m})))) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(k(\mathfrak{m}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(C_{\mathfrak{m}}, E(k(\mathfrak{m})))) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(k(\mathfrak{m}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{m}}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m})))), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is from [7, Theorem 3.2.13], and the last one is from Remark 2.16. From this isomorphisms, it follows that $\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{m}), C_{\mathfrak{m}}), E(k(\mathfrak{m}))) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, from which we conclude that $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{m}), C_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, since $E(k(\mathfrak{m}))$ is an injective cogenerator in the category of $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -modules. Thus $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is dualizing for $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$, as required. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let C be pointwise dualizing. Then flat cover of any C -injective R -module is C -injective.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $C\text{-id}_R(T_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. Hence $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is C -injective for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 0$. Assume that M is a C -injective R -module. By Theorem 4.3, we have $F(M) = \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Now the result follows since the class \mathcal{I}_C closed under arbitrary direct product. \square

Corollary 4.5. *The R -module C is pointwise dualizing if and only if for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R ,*

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{p}))) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \\ 0 & i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Set $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{p}))) &= \text{vdim}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{p})))) \\ &= \text{vdim}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, E(R/\mathfrak{p})))) \\ &= \text{vdim}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(C_{\mathfrak{p}}, E(R/\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &= \text{vdim}_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), C_{\mathfrak{p}}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is from Remark 2.16, and the last equality is from [7, Theorem 3.2.13]. Now, C is pointwise dualizing if and only if $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the dualizing module of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, and this is the case if and only if

$$\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{p}), C_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \begin{cases} k(\mathfrak{p}) & i = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}), \\ 0 & i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}). \end{cases}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Thus we are done by the above equalities and the fact that $\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})) \cong k(\mathfrak{p})$. \square

In the following corollaries, we are concerned with the local cohomology. For an R -module M , the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to an ideal \mathfrak{a} of R , denoted by $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$, is defined to be

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, M).$$

For the basic properties of local cohomology modules, please see the textbook [3].

Corollary 4.6. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim(R) = d$ possessing a canonical module ω_R . Then $\pi_i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = \delta_{i,d}$, and $\pi_i(\mathfrak{q}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 0$ for any non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{q} whenever $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q})$.*

Proof. By [3, Theorem 11.2.8], we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$, and hence $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ is ω_R -injective. Assume that \mathfrak{q} is a non-maximal prime ideal of R . Then by the Theorem 4.3, we have $\pi_i(\mathfrak{q}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{q})$. Finally, by corollary 4.5, we have $\pi_i(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 0$ for all $i \neq d$ and that $\pi_d(\mathfrak{m}, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 1$, as wanted. \square

If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring with $\dim(R) = d$, then by [3, Corollary 6.2.9] the only non-vanishing local cohomology of R with respect to \mathfrak{m} is $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$. Also, if R admits a

canonical module, then by [7, Proposition 9.5.22], we have $\text{fd}_R(H_m^d(R)) = d$. The following corollary describes the structure of the minimal flat resolution of $H_m^d(R)$.

Corollary 4.7. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring possessing a canonical module. The minimal flat resolution of $H_m^d(R)$ is of the form*

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow H_m^d(R) \longrightarrow 0.$$

In the following corollary, we give another proof of [16, Corollary 3.7]. Our approach is direct, and uses the well-known fact that the homology functor Tor can be computed by a flat resolution.

Corollary 4.8. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a d -dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring. Then*

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(H_m^d(R), H_m^d(R)) \cong \begin{cases} H_m^d(R) & i = d, \\ 0 & i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Note that \widehat{R} is a d -dimensional complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and hence admits a canonical module $\omega_{\widehat{R}}$. The R -module $H_m^d(R)$ is Artinian by [3, Theorem 7.1.6], and thus naturally has a \widehat{R} -module structure by [3, Remark 10.2.9]. Hence $\text{Tor}_i^R(H_m^d(R), H_m^d(R))$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$ by [14, Corollary 3.2]. Thus there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_i^R(H_m^d(R), H_m^d(R)) &\cong \text{Tor}_i^R(H_m^d(R), H_m^d(R)) \otimes_R \widehat{R} \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{\widehat{R}}(H_m^d(R) \otimes_R \widehat{R}, H_m^d(R) \otimes_R \widehat{R}) \\ &\cong \text{Tor}_i^{\widehat{R}}(H_{m\widehat{R}}^d(\widehat{R}), H_{m\widehat{R}}^d(\widehat{R})), \end{aligned}$$

in which the second isomorphism is from [7, Theorem 2.1.11], and the last one is flat base change [3, Theorem 4.3.2]. Also, we have the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H_m^d(R) &\cong H_m^d(R) \otimes_R \widehat{R} \\ &\cong H_{m\widehat{R}}^d(\widehat{R}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{R}}(\omega_{\widehat{R}}, E_{\widehat{R}}(\widehat{R}/\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R})), \end{aligned}$$

in which the first isomorphism holds because $H_m^d(R)$ is Artinian, the second isomorphism is the flat base change, and the last one is local duality [3, Theorem 11.2.8]. Thus $H_m^d(R)$ is a $\omega_{\widehat{R}}$ -injective \widehat{R} -module. Hence, by Corollary 4.7, the minimal flat resolution of $H_m^d(R)$, as an \widehat{R} -module, is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{R}_{m\widehat{R}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(Q)=1} T_Q \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(Q)=0} T_Q \longrightarrow H_m^d(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which T_Q is the completion of a free \widehat{R}_Q -module with respect to $Q\widehat{R}_Q$ -adic topology, for $Q \in \text{Spec}(\widehat{R})$. Observe that the above resolution is a flat resolution of $H_m^d(R)$ as an R -module since the modules in the above resolution are all flat R -modules. Therefore, we can replace R by \widehat{R} , and assume that R is complete. So that, the minimal flat resolution of $H_m^d(R)$ is of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \widehat{R}_{\mathfrak{m}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=1} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow \prod_{\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})=0} T_{\mathfrak{p}} \longrightarrow H_m^d(R) \longrightarrow 0,$$

in which $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the completion of a free $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module with respect to $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -adic topology, for $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$. Next, note that for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} with $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{m}$, we have $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \otimes_R \left(\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) = 0$. Indeed, we can write $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) = \varinjlim_{\alpha \in I} M_{\alpha}$, where M_{α} is a finitely generated submodule of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$. Also $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{Hom}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{p}), E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{(X)})$ for some set X . Now since M_{α} is of finite length by [3, Theorem 7.1.3], we can take an element $x \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that $xM_{\alpha} = 0$. But multiplication of x induces an automorphism on $E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ and hence on $\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Consequently, multiplication of x on $M_{\alpha} \otimes_R \left(\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}} \right)$ is both an isomorphism and zero. Hence $M_{\alpha} \otimes_R \left(\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) = 0$, from which we conclude that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \otimes_R \left(\prod T_{\mathfrak{p}} \right) = 0$ since tensor commutes with direct limit. Thus $\text{Tor}_i^R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 0$ for $i \neq d$. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Tor}_d^R(H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R), H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) &\cong \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \otimes_R H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \\
&\cong H_{\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}^d(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_{\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\widehat{\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}, E_{\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}}(\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}/\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}})) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}, E_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}})) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}} \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}, E_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}})) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{m}} \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E(R/\mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{m}}) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}_R(\omega_R, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) \\
&\cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R),
\end{aligned}$$

in which the second isomorphism is the flat base change [3, Theorem 4.3.2], the third isomorphism is local duality [3, Theorem 11.2.8], and the fifth one is from [3, Remark 10.2.9], since $\text{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(\omega_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}, E_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}(R_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}R_{\mathfrak{m}}))$ is an Artinian $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module and hence has a natural structure as an $\widehat{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ -module. \square

The following theorem is a slight generalization of [22, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 4.9. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *C is pointwise dualizing.*
- (ii) *If M is a cotorsion R -module such that $C\text{-id}_R(M) = n < \infty$, then M admits a minimal flat resolution such that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ whenever $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \notin \{i, \dots, i+n\}$.*

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). We use induction on n . If $n = 0$, then we are done by Theorem 4.3. Now assume inductively that $n > 0$ and the case n is settled. Fix a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R . Assume that M is a cotorsion R -module with $C\text{-id}_R(M) = n + 1$. Hence $M \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, and so the \mathcal{I}_C -preenvelope of M is injective by [18, Corollary 2.4(b)]. Thus there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I) \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0, (*)$$

in which I is injective, and $L = \text{Coker}(M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I))$. Note that L is cotorsion since both M and $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ are cotorsion. Also, since both M and $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ are in $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$, we have $L \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$, and therefore $\text{Tor}_1^R(C, L) = 0$. On the other hand

$C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, I) \cong I$, by [7, Theorem 3.2.11]. Hence application of $C \otimes_R -$ on $(*)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R M \rightarrow I \rightarrow C \otimes_R L \rightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 2.9(i), we have $\text{id}_R(C \otimes_R M) = n + 1$. Therefore $\text{id}_R(C \otimes_R L) = n$, whence $C\text{-id}_R(L) = n$. Now induction hypothesis applied to $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$ and L yields that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(C, I)) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$, and that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, L) = 0$ for all $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \notin \{i, \dots, i + n\}$. Note that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) = 0$ since M is cotorsion. Hence the exact sequence $(*)$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_R(C, I)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, L) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the later exact sequence, by applying $k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} -$, yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E))) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, L)) \rightarrow \\ \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) &\rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E))) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

From the above long exact sequence, it follows that $\text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \text{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) = 0$ for all $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \notin \{i, \dots, i + n + 1\}$, as wanted. This completes the inductive step.

(ii) \implies (i). Let \mathfrak{m} be a maximal ideal of R . Now $\text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ is C -injective and hence by assumption $\pi_i(\mathfrak{m}, \text{Hom}_R(C, E(R/\mathfrak{m}))) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$. Now by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(k(\mathfrak{m}), C_{\mathfrak{m}}) = 0$ for all $i \neq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m})$, whence $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is dualizing for $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. \square

Corollary 4.10. *The following statements hold true:*

- (i) *If C is pointwise dualizing, then $C\text{-id}_R(F(M)) \leq C\text{-id}_R(M)$ for any cotorsion R -module M .*
- (ii) *If $C\text{-id}_R(F(M)) \leq C\text{-id}_R(M)$ for any R -module M , then C is pointwise dualizing.*

Proof. (i). Assume that M is a cotorsion R -module. If $C\text{-id}_R(M) = \infty$, then we are done. So assume that $C\text{-id}_R(M) = n < \infty$. Then by Theorem 4.9, we have $F(M) = \prod T_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where $0 \leq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq n$. Now the result follows by Lemma 4.1.

(ii). Assume that \mathfrak{m} is a maximal ideal of R . We have to show that $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is dualizing for $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Assume that \mathbf{x} is a maximal R -sequence in \mathfrak{m} . Then $\text{fd}_R(R/\mathbf{x}R) < \infty$, and $\text{Ass}_R(C/\mathbf{x}C) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ since \mathbf{x} is also a maximal C -sequence. Hence we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} C\text{-fd}(C/\mathbf{x}C) &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, C/\mathbf{x}C)) \\ &= \text{fd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, C \otimes_R R/\mathbf{x}R)) \\ &= \text{fd}_R(R/\mathbf{x}R) \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

in which the first equality is from Theorem 2.9(ii), and the third one holds because $R/\mathbf{x}R \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$. Assume that E is an injective cogenerator. Set $(-)^{\vee} = \text{Hom}_R(-, E)$. Then $C\text{-id}_R((C/\mathbf{x}C)^{\vee}) < \infty$ by Lemma 2.10(ii). Now if F is the flat cover of $(C/\mathbf{x}C)^{\vee}$, then by assumption, we have $C\text{-id}_R(F) < \infty$. Therefore, we have $C\text{-fd}_R(F^{\vee}) < \infty$ by Lemma 2.10(i). Next, note that we have

$$C/\mathbf{x}C \hookrightarrow (C/\mathbf{x}C)^{\vee\vee} \hookrightarrow F^{\vee}.$$

Hence, the injective envelope of $C/\mathbf{x}C$ is a direct summand of F^{\vee} . Thus, in fact, $E(R/\mathfrak{m})$

is a direct summand of F^\vee , since $R/\mathfrak{m} \hookrightarrow C/\mathfrak{x}C$. It follows that $C\text{-fd}_R(E(R/\mathfrak{m})) < \infty$, and hence we are done by Lemma 4.1, since \mathfrak{m} was arbitrary. \square

Acknowledgments. We thank the referee for very careful reading of the manuscript and also for his/her useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. L. Bican, R. El Bashir and E. Enochs, *All modules have flat covers*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **33** (2001), 385–390.
2. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
3. M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
4. L.W. Christensen, *Gorenstien Dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1747, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
5. L.W. Christensen, *Semi-dualizing complexes and their Auslander categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (2001), 1839–1883.
6. E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 179–184.
7. E. Enochs and O. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 30, 2000.
8. E. Enochs and J. Xu, *On invariants dual to the Bass numbers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 951–960.
9. H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. **31** (1973), 267–284.
10. E. S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. Algebraic geometry and its applications **165** (1984), 62–66.
11. R. Hartshorne, *Local cohomology*, A seminar given by A. Grothendieck, Harvard University, Fall, vol. 1961, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
12. H. Holm, P. Jørgensen, *Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **205**(2006) 423–445.
13. H. Holm, D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **47** no.4, (2007), 781–808.
14. B. Kubik, M. J. Leamer, and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Homology of artinian and Matlis reflexive modules I*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **215** (2011), no. 10, 2486–2503.
15. B. Kubik, M. J. Leamer, and S. Sather-Wagstaff, *Homology of artinian and mini-max modules*, J. Algebra. **403** (2014), no.1, 229–272.
16. M. Rahmani and A.-J. Taherizadeh, *Tensor product of C-injective modules*, preprint, 2015, available from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.05492>.
17. R. Takahashi, *Some characterizations of Gorenstein local rings in terms of G-dimension*, Acta Math. Hungar. **104** no. 4, (2004), 315–322.
18. R. Takahashi and D.White, *Homological aspects of semidualizing modules*, Math. Scand. **106** (2010) 5–10.
19. M. Salimi, E. Tavasoli, S. Sather-Wagstaff and S. Yassemi, *Relative tor functor with respect to a semidualizing module*, Algebras and Representation Theory, **17**, no.1, (2014), 103–120.
20. W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland Math. Stud. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1974).
21. J. Xu, *Flat covers of modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1634, Springer, New York, (1996).
22. J. Xu, *Minimal injective and flat resolutions of modules over Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **175** (1995), 451–477.
23. S. Yassemi, *G-dimension*, Math. Scand. **77** (1995), no. 2, 161–174.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND COMPUTER, KHARAZMI UNIVERSITY, TEHRAN, IRAN.

E-mail address: `m.rahmani.math@gmail.com`

E-mail address: `taheri@khu.ac.ir`